Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/706,240	JOO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robert C. Scheibel	2616	

All Participants:

(1) *Robert C. Scheibel*.

(2) *Kurt M. Pankratz (Reg. No. 46,977)*.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *18 May 2006*

Time: _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
13, 15, 23, and 24

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Robert C Scheibel 6-1-06
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner indicated that the claims as submitted in the amendment of 3/27/2006 may be subject to final rejection. Examiner proposed some claim changes to overcome the previous rejection. Examiner proposed providing more detail on the VCIC contents as well as more detail on the use of the VCIC in call progress. Mr. Pankratz emailed proposed claim changes which were incorporated into the attached examiner's amendment..